

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,400	. 07/30/2003	Minas Tanielian	7784-000621	9004
65961	214			INER
HARNESS DICKEY & PIERCE, PLC P.O. BOX 828			HANDY, DWAYNE K	
BLOOMFIELI	O HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		1743		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
31 I	DAYS	04/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		T	1	V		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Asticus Communication	10/630,400	TANIELIAN, MINAS			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dwayne K. Handy	1743			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicatio () (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	ilv 2003.		•		
•		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·				
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.	·				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
'=	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		·	d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	ed in this National Stage	. *		
*	application from the International Bureau					
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	: d.			
	•					
Attachmen	ut(s)					
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ason reproducti			

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-42, drawn to a system for detecting an agent, classified in class
 422, subclass 83.
 - II. Claims 43-59, drawn to a method of detecting an agent, classified in class436, subclass 164.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to collect and separate fluids.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,400

Art Unit: 1743

3. A telephone call was made to Michael Taylor in September to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwayne K. Handy whose telephone number is (571)-272-1259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DKH April 2, 2007

Jiji Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700